# ORIGINAL PAPER

R. H. Kettunen · J. A. Rintala

# The effect of low temperature (5–29 $^{\circ}$ C) and adaptation on the methanogenic activity of biomass

Received: 23 December 1996 / Received last revision: 18 June 1997 / Accepted: 23 June 1997

Abstract The influence of low temperature (5–29 °C) on the methanogenic activity of non-adapted digested sewage sludge and on temperature/leachate-adapted biomass was assayed by using municipal landfill leachate, intermediates of anaerobic degradation (propionate) and methane precursors (acetate,  $H_2/CO_2$ ) as substrates. The temperature dependence of methanogenic activity could be described by Arrhenius-derived models. However, both substrate and adaptation affected the temperature dependence. The adaptation of biomass in a leachate-fed upflow anaerobic sludge-blanket reactor at approximately 20 °C for 4 months resulted in a sevenfold and fivefold increase of methanogenic activity at 11 °C and 22 °C respectively. Both acetate and  $H_2/CO_2$ were methanized even at 5 °C. At 22 °C, methanogenic activities (acetate 4.8-84 mM) were 1.6-5.2 times higher than those at 11 °C. The half-velocity constant ( $K_s$ ) of acetate utilization at 11 °C was one-third of that at 22 °C while a similar  $K_i$  was obtained at both temperatures. With propionate (1.1-5.5 mM) as substrate, methanogenic activities at 11 °C were half those at 22 °C. Furthermore, the residual concentration of the substrates was not dependent on temperature. The results suggest that the adaptation of biomass enables the achievement of a high treatment capacity in the anaerobic process even under psychrophilic conditions.

R. H. Kettunen (⊠) Tampere University of Technology, Water and Environmental Engineering, P.O. Box 541, FIN-33101 Tampere, Finland e-mail: riitta.kettunen@cc.tut.fi

J. A. Rintala

R. H. Kettunen

# Introduction

High-rate anaerobic processes have become an option for cost-effective and sustainable treatment of concentrated wastewaters. Anaerobic processes have been traditionally operated at 35–37 °C although the temperature of certain wastewater fractions might be either considerably warmer (e.g. pulp and paper industry) or cooler (e.g. breweries, landfills) than the above. Treating these concentrated fractions separately at initial temperatures would often be beneficial because of reduced resources and costs (e.g. no heating or cooling required). Promising results have already been reported in a few studies at 10–15 °C (Kennedy and van den Berg 1982; Koster and Lettinga 1985; Lin 1986; Viraraghavan and Kikkeri 1990; Kettunen and Rintala 1997).

Methanogenic activity and methane production have been observed at temperatures as low as 3–6 °C (Huser et al. 1982; Westermann and Ahring 1987; Kotsyurbenko et al. 1993). However, reports of a decrease in methanogenic (acetate utilisation) activity caused by a drop in temperature vary from study to study (Lawrence and McCarty 1969; Lin et al. 1987; Dinopoulou et al. 1988; Westermann et al. 1989; Rebac et al. 1995). Furthermore, the half-velocity constants of substrate utilisation have been reported both to increase  $(K_s; Lawrence and$ McCarty 1969; Lin et al. 1987) and to decrease  $(K_m;$ Westermann et al. 1989) at low temperature. Apparently the type of substrate and microbial culture (e.g. pure or mixed culture) and the experimental set-up used (e.g. batch or continuous experiment, temperature range) affect the activities and the constants obtained. Moreover, few studies (Rebac et al. 1995; Yan and Tay 1996) have focused on the effect on methanogenic activity of adapted biomass at low temperature.

The applicability of the anaerobic process to treatment of municipal landfill leachate is highly dependent on the characteristics of the leachate. Medium- to highstrength leachates have been successfully methanized even at 18–25 °C (Boyle and Ham 1974; Henry et al.

Jyväskylä University, Department of Biology and Environmental Sciences, P.O. Box 35, FIN-40351 Jyväskylä, Finland

University of Tampere, Institute of Medical Technology, P.O. Box 607, FIN-33101 Tampere, Finland

1987; Mennerich 1987). However, none of the studies has involved high-rate anaerobic treatment below 20 °C although, in a cold climate, leachate may be considerably cooler than that. Our previous results on a pilot scale showed that landfill leachates could be methanized even at 13 °C (Kettunen and Rintala 1997).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of low temperature (5–29 °C) on the methanogenic activity of non-adapted digested sewage sludge (37 °C) and on temperature/leachate-adapted biomass. The activity was assayed by using municipal landfill leachate, intermediates of anaerobic degradation (propionate) and methane precursors (acetate,  $H_2/CO_2$ ) as substrates. The leachate also contained acetate and propionate up to several hundred milligrams per litre (Kettunen and Rintala 1997). Kinetic models were used to asses the temperature dependence of methanogenic activity and substrate utilisation.

# **Materials and methods**

#### Media

Municipal landfill leachate and synthetic media (acetate, propionate and  $H_2/CO_2$ ) were used in the batch assays. The leachate (chemical oxygen demand, COD, 1500–4000 mg l<sup>-1</sup>) originated from an equalisation basin at the Ämmässuo landfill (Espoo, Finland; Kettunen and Rintala 1997). Acetic (4.2, 8.4, 16.8, 42, and 84 mM) and propionic acids (1.1, 2.8, and 5.5 mM) were supplied by J.T. Baker and Fluka Chemica (p.a. grade) respectively.  $H_2/CO_2$  (80:20) was delivered by Oy AGA Ab, Finland. Inorganic macro-and micro-nutrients, listed elsewhere (Kettunen et al. 1996), were added to all the media and to the demineralized water used in the  $H_2/CO_2$  assay. Further, a  $KH_2PO_4$  (0.22 g l<sup>-1</sup>) and  $Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  (0.104 g l<sup>-1</sup>) solution was used as buffer in the  $H_2/CO_2$  assay. The pH of the medium was adjusted to between 7.0 and 7.2 with 1 M NaOH or 0.1 M HCl.

## Inocula

The inocula were digested sewage sludge from a 37 °C digester (The Viinikanlahti sewage treatment plant, Tampere, Finland) and the biomass from an upflow anaerobic sludge-blanket (UASB) reactor treating leachate at 13–28 °C (Kettunen and Rintala 1997). The inocula and temperatures used in the assays

are summarised in Table 1. All the inocula were stored anaerobically at 4  $^{\circ}$ C for 0.4–2.5 months prior to assaying, which was considered to have negligible effect on the activity of the inocula (e.g. Wu et al. 1995).

## Batch assays

Anaerobic batch assays were run in triplicate in 120-ml glass serum bottles. The total liquid volume was 48-60 ml, except that 25 ml was used in the  $H_2/CO_2$  assay. In the  $H_2/CO_2$  assay, demineralized water (plus nutrients) and inoculum were transferred into the bottles, the bottles were sealed with rubber stoppers and aluminium crimps, and the headspace was flushed with a  $H_2/CO_2$  mixture. In all other assays, the media with or without the inoculum were transferred into the bottles, the contents and the headspace were flushed with nitrogen, and the bottles were sealed as described above. Finally,  $Na_2S \cdot 9H_2O$  (0.25 g l<sup>-1</sup>) was injected into the bottles to ensure anaerobic conditions during the assay. The bottles were incubated thermostatically  $(\pm 1 \text{ °C})$  in static cultures in the dark. Gas samples for methane analyses were taken periodically from the bottles with a pressurized-lock syringe. The bottles without added medium were incubated in all the assays, and the methane production observed was subtracted from that in the test samples.

#### Analyses

COD (dichromate method), volatile solids and volatile suspended solids (VSS) were determined according to the Finnish Standards (SFS standard 5504, 1988) and the standard methods (APHA 1992). Total organic carbon (TOC) was analysed with a TOC-5000 total organic carbon analyser (Shimadzu). Both the COD and TOC samples were filtered (Schleicher & Schüll ME25 membrane filter, 0.45  $\mu$ m). pH was measured with an Orion research model SA250 pH meter. Methane was analysed by the gas chromatography /thermal conductivity detector technique (Perkin-Elmer Sigma, 2-m × 3-mm stainless-steel column packed with Porapak Q, 80–100 mesh; Supelco). The oven, injector and detector temperatures were 60 °C, 120 °C and 120 °C respectively. The carrier gas was helium at 20 ml min<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Calculations

The specific methanogenic activity of the biomass (ml  $CH_4$  g  $VSS^{-1}$   $h^{-1}$ ) was calculated from the linear part of a curve describing cumulative methane production (g  $VSS^{-1}$ ) in time. The lag phase was determined as the time before methane was detected in an assay.

Several models have been suggested to describe the effect of either temperature or substrate on microbial activity and growth

**Table 1** Media, inocula and temperatures used in the anaerobic batch assays. VSS volatile suspended solids, SSD sewage sludge digester,UASB upflow anaerobic sludge-blanket reactor (13–28 °C) treating municipal landfill leachate, NA not applicable

Medium	T in assay (°C)	Inoculum	Source of ino	Concentration of		
			Reactor	Run time (days)	<i>Т</i> (°С)	$(g \text{ VSS } l^{-1})$
Leachate	5–35	А	SSD	NA	37	1.9 <sup>a</sup>
	11, 22	А	SSD	NA	37	1.1
	11, 22	В	UASB	114	24	1.0
Acetate	11	С	UASB	184	18	2.2
	22	D	UASB	135	23	1.9
	5-29	D	UASB	135	23	1.7
Propionate	11, 22	Е	UASB	170	18	2.7-2.8
$H_2/CO_2$	5-29	F	UASB	226	14	8.3

<sup>a</sup> g volatile solids l<sup>-1</sup>

(Heitzer et al. 1991; Pavlostathis and Giraldo-Gomez 1991). In the present study, the following equations (Eq. 1–4) were selected for calculations, on the basis of their wide use.

The temperature dependence of methanogenic activity was described with two models, the first one being the Arrhenius-type equation

$$k_{\rm CH_4} = A \cdot e^{(-E_{\rm a}/RT)} \tag{1}$$

where  $k_{\text{CH}_4}$  is the methanogenic activity (ml CH<sub>4</sub> g VSS<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) at temperature *T*(K), *A* is the frequency factor (ml CH<sub>4</sub> g VSS<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>), *E*<sub>a</sub> is the apparent activation energy (kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>), *R* is the gas constant (0.008314 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>).

The second model was the Arrhenius-derived equation, which recognises biosynthesis and degradative processes (Pavlostathis and Giraldo-Gomez 1991)

$$k_{\rm CH_4} = k_1 \cdot e^{a_1(T - T_{\rm X})} - k_2 \cdot e^{a_2(T - T_{\rm X})}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $k_{CH_4}$  is the methanogenic activity (ml CH<sub>4</sub> g VSS<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) at the temperature T (°C).  $k_1$  and  $a_1$  are kinetic constants for temperatures below the optimum when degradation (the second term in Eq. 2) is negligible,  $k_2$  and  $a_2$  are kinetic constants for the second term and  $T_X$  is the optimum temperature (°C) up to which the activity increases exponentially. The first term is the most commonly used modification of the Arrhenius equation, and therefore it was alone fitted to the data (referred to as Eq. 2a) in addition to the whole equation (referred to as Eq. 2)

The dependence of methanogenic activity on the initial acetate concentration was described using the generalised Haldane equation

$$k_{\rm CH_4} = \frac{k}{1 + \frac{K_s}{S} + \left(\frac{S}{K_i}\right)^n} \tag{3}$$

and the non-competitive inhibition equation

$$k_{\rm CH_4} = \frac{kS}{(K_{\rm s} + S)\left(1 + \frac{l}{K_{\rm i}}\right)} \tag{4}$$

where  $k_{CH_4}$  is the methanogenic activity (ml CH<sub>4</sub> g VSS<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>), k is the maximum specific methanogenic activity,  $K_s$  is the half-velocity constant,  $K_i$  is the inhibition constant, S is the substrate concentration, I is the inhibitor concentration and n is a constant (order of inhibition). In parameter estimation, n was given values of 1 (referred to as Eq. 3a) and 2 (referred to as Eq. 3b).

The parameters in Eq. 1–4 were estimated using a non-linear least-square method; the minimum least-square value was iterated by the Newton method. Lack of fit was tested according to Berthouex and Brown (1994). The residual mean squares [RMS = RSS/(n-p)] where RSS is the residual sum of squares

**Table 2** Lag-phases in methane production in the methanogenic activity assays with leachate, acetate, propionate or  $H_2/CO_2$  as substrates. For inocula used see Table 1. Substrate concentration

and n-p degrees of freedom] of the fitted model were compared with the measurement error variance ( $s^2$ ) by computing the *F* ratio ( $F = \text{RMS}/s^2$ ) and comparing it with the tabulated *F* value (approximate 95% confidence level) having the appropriate degrees of freedom. The variance was assumed to be normally distributed.

#### Results

Methanogenic activity of non-adapted biomass at 5-35 °C with leachate as substrate

The influence of temperature (5-35 °C) on the methanogenic activity of non-adapted biomass (A) was studied in two consecutive feedings by using mesophilicdigested sewage sludge (37 °C) and the leachate (Table 2, Fig. 1a). In the first feeding (COD 3.5 g  $l^{-1}$ ). methane production started within 10 days even at 5 °C, indicating the potential of the mesophilic biomass to initiate methanogenesis under psychrophilic conditions. The biomass showed good adaptation to low temperature, for in the second feeding (COD 3.9 g  $l^{-1}$ ), methanogenic activities at 5-22 °C were two- to threefold higher than in the first feeding (Fig. 1a). Neither the adaptation nor the incubation temperature (13-35 °C) affected COD removal (84%-89%) and the final COD achieved (430–490 mg  $l^{-1}$ ). At 5–9 °C, the assay was discontinued before the decline in methane production, and thus no comparison between the final COD values could be made. Without inoculum at 22 °C, the rate of methane production was low. However, 65% of the COD was removed by the micro-organisms in the leachate after 1.5 months (data not shown).

Equations 1 and 2a both described well the temperature dependence of the non-adapted biomass during the first feeding (Table 3). Equation 2 was rejected because of the negative  $k_2$  value obtained. Contrary to the first feeding, Eq. 2 with the decay term best described temperature dependence in the second feeding, although neither equation fitted the data well. The change in the type of temperature-dependence model indicated alteration in the biomass as a result of low temperature.

did not affect the lag phases. Values in parentheses were obtained in the assay with the adapted biomass (B) as inoculum. –Not determined

Т (°С)	Lag phase (days)							
	Assays with temp	perature variation	Assays with variation of substrate concentration					
	Leachate inoculum A	Acetate inoculum D	H <sub>2</sub> /CO <sub>2</sub> inoculum F	Acetate inoculum C, D	Propionate inoculum E			
5	10	3	10	_	_			
9–11	10 (0.4)	2	8	0.2	1			
13-15	3	1	7	-	_			
21-23	2 (0.2)	0.9	4	0.2	0.3			
29	_ ```	0.4	3	_	_			
35	1	_	_	_	-			



Fig. 1 The influence of temperature (5–35 °C) on methanogenic activity of (a) non-adapted biomass (A) and (b) adapted biomass (D and F). Substrates used: (a) leachate first feeding ( $\bigcirc$ ) and second feeding ( $\triangle$ ), (b) acetate ( $\square$ ) and H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> ( $\Diamond$ ). The coefficients of variation of triplicate (duplicate) samples were no more than 20%, 30%, 20% and 45% respectively. The lines are drawn according to the best-fit Arrhenius-derived model (see Table 3). *VS* volatile solids, (see Table 1) *VSS* volatile suspended solids

Methanogenic activity of adapted biomass at 11 °C and 22 °C with leachate as substrate

The effect of adapting biomass to low temperature and leachate was studied at 11 °C and 22 °C by assaying biomass (B) from a leachate-treating UASB reactor (inoculated with the non-adapted biomass A) after 4 months of operation at 20-28 °C (Kettunen and Rintala 1997). Adaptation increased significantly the methanogenic activity of the biomass under psychrophilic conditions. At 11 °C, the methanogenic activity of the adapted biomass  $(0.76 \pm 0.04 \text{ ml CH}_4 \text{ g VSS}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1})$ , leachate COD 2.5 g  $l^{-1}$ ) was sevenfold higher than that of the non-adapted mass while the respective increase at 22 °C was fivefold (3.12  $\pm$  0.1 ml  $CH_4$  g VSS<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>). At both 11 °C and 22 °C, methanogenic activities increased to a level previously obtained at around 10 °C higher temperatures with the non-adapted biomass. Methanogenic activities lower than the above were obtained with the diluted leachate (1:2) as substrate (data not shown), which suggested dependence of methanogenic activity on the substrate concentration. The adaptation of the biomass did not enhance COD removal (approx. 80% at both temperatures).

Methanogenic activity of biomass at 5–29 °C with acetate and  $H_2/CO_2$  as substrates

The influence of temperature (5–29 °C) on the methanogenic activity of the adapted biomass (D and F) was also assayed with 16.8 mM acetate ( $\approx K_s$  at 11 °C, see later) and H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> (80:20) as substrates (Fig. 1b). The start-up of methane production was retarded as the temperature decreased (Table 2) but, even at 5 °C, production started within 3 days with acetate and within 10 days with H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub>. With acetate as substrate, the influence of temperature on methanogenic activity was best described by the Arrhenius-derived Eq. 2 although none of the models fitted the data well (Table 3). A

**Table 3** Kinetic constants for Eqs. 1 and 2 when leachate (n = 15), acetate (16.8 mM, n = 15), and H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> (20%:80%, n = 14) were used as substrates.  $F_{0.05,13,13} = 2.58$ ,  $F_{0.05,12,12} = 2.79$ ,  $F_{0.05,11,11} = 2.83$ ,  $F_{0.05,10,10} = 2.98$ . The coefficient of variation of

the final parameter estimates was less than 2% despite initial estimates  $10^2$  greater or smaller than the final ones. *NA* not applicable,  $T_X$  the optimum temperature, *RSS* the residual sum of squares

0.05,11,11 0.05,10,10									
Substrate	Eq.	$T_{\rm x}$ (°C)	$k_1$ or $A$	$a_1$ or $E_a$	<i>k</i> <sub>2</sub>	$a_2$	RSS	$s^2$	F
Leachate/	1	NA	$4.15 \cdot 10^{13}$	78.42	NA	NA	0.512876	0.028825	1.369
1st feeding	2a	37	2.679	0.106	NA	NA	0.537261	0.028825	1.434
Leachate/	1	NA	$2.91 \cdot 10^{9}$	53.51	NA	NA	1.819987	0.001749	80.05
2nd feeding	2a	37	2.912	0.073	NA	NA	1.965717	0.001749	86.46
e	2 <sup>a</sup>	20	10.0	0.136	9.03	0.141	1.129027	0.001749	58.68
Acetate	1	NA	$3.78 \cdot 10^{9}$	52.08	NA	NA	4.005567	0.034608	8.903
	2a	30	4.086	0.072	NA	NA	4.300524	0.034608	9.559
	$2^{a}$	20	10.0	0.143	7.73	0.160	2.058643	0.034608	5.408
$H_2/CO_2$	1	NA	$3.79 \cdot 10^{9}$	59.03	NA	NA	0.012248	0.000444	2.299
	2a	30	0.274	0.094	NA	NA	0.010848	0.000444	2.036

<sup>a</sup> Pre-set boundaries were required ( $k_1 \le 10, a_1 \le 1$ ) to obtain coefficients of variation less than 2%

similar residual concentration of acetate (5 mg TOC  $1^{-1}$  or less) was obtained at each temperature studied. With  $H_2/CO_2$  as substrate, the Arrhenius-derived Eq. 2a without the decay term best described the methanogenic activity. Eq. 2 was rejected because of the negative  $k_2$  value obtained. The methanogenic activity with  $H_2/CO_2$  as substrate was less temperature-dependent than that with leachate or acetate, as indicated by the low temperature coefficient  $(a_1)$ .

# Influence of initial acetate and propionate concentrations on methanogenic activity of biomass at 11 °C and 22 °C

The influence of the initial substrate concentration on the methanogenic activity and on the kinetic parameters of the adapted biomass (C, D and E) was studied at 11 °C and 22 °C with acetate and propionate as substrates. With acetate as substrate (Fig. 2a), methanogenic activity surged up to a certain acetate concentration (approx. 15 mM at 11 °C and approx. 30 mM at 22 °C), after which a slight decline in the activity caused by substrate inhibition was observed. Methanogenic activities at 22 °C were 1.6-5.2 times higher than those at 11 °C (Fig. 2). At 11 °C, both the Haldane (Eq. 3a) and the non-competitive (Eq. 4) equations described methanogenic activity well (Table 4). The values for k,  $K_s$ , and  $K_i$  were 1.7–2.8 ml CH<sub>4</sub> g VSS<sup>-1</sup>  $h^{-1}$ , 11–18 mM, and 30–49 mM respectively. At 22 °C, the best fit was obtained with the Haldane equation (Eq. 3a). However, two estimates of the parameters were found with and without a bounded range for  $K_s$ (Table 4). The  $K_s$  value, being higher than  $K_i$ , was not likely to be correct, on the basis of the findings at 11 °C and the values of  $K_s$  and  $K_i$  found in the literature (Yang and Okos 1987; Fukuzaki et al. 1990). Consequently, the following estimates for k,  $K_s$  and  $K_i$  at 22 °C were selected: 11 ml CH<sub>4</sub> g VSS<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, 46 mM and 46 mM. Neither the initial acetate concentration nor temperature affected the residual concentration (10–35 mg TOC  $l^{-1}$ ).

With propionate as substrate (Fig. 2b, Table 2), methanogenic activity seemed to increase with the increasing propionate concentration at both 11 °C and 22 °C, and no inhibition of methanogenesis was ob-



Fig. 2 The effect of initial (a) acetate (4.2–84 mM) and (b) propionate concentration (1.1–5.5 mM) on methanogenic activity of adapted biomass (C, D and E) at 11 °C ( $\Diamond$ ) and 22 °C ( $\Box$ ). The coefficient of variation of triplicate (duplicate) samples was no more than 15%. In the acetate figure, the lines are drawn according to the best-fit substrate utilization model (Haldane, see Table 4) while, in the propionate figure, the lines represent averages of the samples

served. Methanogenic activities at 11 °C were approximately half those at 22 °C. No kinetic model was fitted to the data as only three concentrations of propionate were assayed. Temperature did not affect the residual propionate concentration (5 mg TOC  $l^{-1}$  or less).

**Table 4** Kinetic constants for the Eqs. 3 and 4 at 11 °C and 22 °C with acetate as substrate.  $F_{0.05,12,12} = 2.69$ . The coefficient of variation of the final parameter estimates was less than 2% despite initial estimates  $10^2$  greater or smaller than the final ones

Т (°С)	Equation	$k \pmod{(\text{ml CH}_4 \text{ g VSS}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1})}$	K <sub>s</sub> (mmol)	K <sub>i</sub> (mmol)	RSS	$s^2$	F
11	3a Haldane $(n = 1)$	1.725	11.38	48.62	0.024231	0.001671	1.208
	3b Haldane $(n = 2)$	1.139	5.805	90.11	0.032877	0.001671	1.640
	4 non-competitive	2.753	18.16	30.45	0.024231	0.001671	1.208
22	3a Haldane $(n = 1)$	16.86	79.60	23.98	0.318218	0.012744	2.081
	3a Haldane $(n = 1)^{a}$	10.67	45.88	45.88	0.369260	0.012744	2.415
	3b Haldane $(n = 2)$	6.900	28.24	89.23	0.465558	0.012744	3.044
	4 non-competitive	13.83	51.53	51.53	0.638133	0.012744	4.173

<sup>a</sup> In the estimation, K was set to be equal to or less than  $K_i$ 

# Discussion

According to our knowledge, this was first time that the low-temperature kinetics of anaerobic reactor biomass has been assessed more extensively. The temperature dependence of methanogenic activity and the half-velocity constant of the biomass have to be considered thoroughly when designing and operating an anaerobic process at low temperature. Incorporation of temperature dependence equations with kinetic models for various treatment processes should allow process performance to be predicted at various temperatures (Novak 1974; Lin et al. 1987). In the present study, however, both the substrate (leachate, acetate or  $H_2/CO_2$ ) and the adaptation of the biomass affected the type of best-fit temperature model and the kinetic parameters obtained. The most commonly used model (Arrhenius Eqs. 1 and 2a) was a poor predictor of the temperature dependence of the methanogenic activity of the adapted biomass. Consequently, the efficiency of an anaerobic process at low temperature could be significantly underestimated if the kinetic parameters are determined in batch experiments with non-adapted biomass.

The results showed that biomass from a mesophilic sewage sludge digester could be used to methanize medium-strength municipal landfill leachate at temperatures as low as 5 °C. Both acetate- and  $H_2/CO_2$ - utilising methanogens were able to function at such low temperatures. The adaptation of the biomass to low temperature/leachate enhanced its methanogenic activity significantly, a finding similar to those of Rebac et al. (1995) and Yan and Tay (1996). Rebac et al. (1995) reported a three- to fourfold increase in the acetate degrading activity of mesophilic granular sludge both at 10 °C and 20 °C, when an expanded granular-sludgebed (EGSB) reactor fed with a volatile fatty acids solution was operated for 253 days at 10-12 °C. However, they observed no increased propionate-degrading activity. Yan and Tay (1996) found a higher than tenfold increase in methanogenic activity at 22 °C when a UASB reactor inoculated with digested sewage sludge and fed with brewery wastewater was run for 300 days at 18–26 °C. The increased activity may result from growth and enrichment of methanogens in addition to selection of methanogens with the highest activity under applied conditions, for example.

The methanogenic activities of the biomass with acetate or propionate as substrate were lower than those reported for EGSB reactor biomass at 10 °C (0.1–0.4 mg COD mg VSS<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) and at 20 °C (0.3–1.0 mg COD mg VSS<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) by Rebac et al. (1995). However, the propionate concentration used in the present study was significantly lower. Somewhat higher methanogenic activities with acetate as substrate have also been found in batch experiments at 15 °C and 20 °C (0.2 mg and 1 mg COD mg VSS<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> respectively; van den Berg 1977) with an acetate-acclimatised mixed culture. In the present study, methanogenic activities with  $H_2/CO_2$  as substrate were significantly lower than with acetate at each temperature studied (5–29 °C), a finding similar to that of Kalyuzhnyi et al. (1996) at 35 °C. Higher activities with  $H_2/CO_2$  might have been obtained as a result of enhanced gas transfer if mixing had been applied.

The methanogenic activities of the adapted biomass with leachate as substrate were comparable to those found with acetate in samples with the same initial COD  $(2.5-2.6 \text{ g l}^{-1})$ . The highest methanogenic activity obtained at 22 °C was approximately half what Keenan et al. (1993) found at 35 °C for granular sludge from a leachate-treating UASB reactor. However, they did not report the COD of their leachate. According to the present findings, a temperature drop from 35 °C to 20 °C in a leachate treating process corresponds only to an approximately 30% decrease in methanogenic activity when the biomass has been adapted to low temperature.

The  $K_s$  of the biomass for acetate at 11 °C was approximately one-third that at 22 °C. Westermann et al. (1989) showed that the half-velocity constant ( $K_m$ ) of *Methanosarcina barkeri* for both hydrogen and acetate decreased with decreasing temperature. In continuous-treatment studies, however, the half-velocity constant ( $K_s$ ) was found to increase as the temperature decreased (15–35 °C; Lawrence and McCarty 1969; Lin et al. 1987). Other factors besides temperature, such as biomass retention time (Noike et al. 1985), might affect the  $K_s$  value obtained in continuous experiments. Unlike  $K_s$ , temperature seemed not to affect  $K_i$  in the present study. Further studies are needed to evaluate the dependence of  $K_s$  and  $K_i$  on temperature.

The  $K_s$  values (11–46 mM) in the present study were high compared to those found for acetate in continuous, mixed culture experiments at 10–35 °C (2.6– 14 mM, Lawrence and McCarty 1969; 0.2–6.6 mM, Noike et al. 1985; 0.6 mM, Rebac et al. 1995). On the other hand,  $K_s$  values of 0.104–29.2 mM and 0.004– 0.7 mM have been reported for *Methanosarcina* species (Yang and Okos 1987; Fukuzaki et al. 1990) and *Methanosaeta* (*Methanothrix*) species (Huser et al. 1982; Fukuzaki et al. 1990) at 35–37 °C. The respective  $K_i$ values were 8.3–811 mM and 4.6 mM. Apparently, high leachate COD (1.5–3.2 g l<sup>-1</sup>) and relatively high loading rates (1.4–4 kg COD m<sup>-3</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> at 13–23 °C) applied in the UASB reactor (Kettunen and Rintala 1997) resulted in a high half-velocity and inhibition constants of the biomass.

In a continuous treatment process, high effluent quality should also be obtained at low temperature since the residual TOC/COD of acetate, propionate or leachate achieved in the batch experiments was not affected by temperature. Thus, for example, the higher effluent COD observed at 13 °C than at 18 °C in a leachatetreating UASB reactor (Kettunen and Rintala 1997) most probably resulted from an overloading and not from characteristics of the biomass. Acknowledgements This work was financially supported by the Academy of Finland, the Maj and Tor Nessling Foundation, the Emil Aaltonen Foundation, Rakennusalan Edistämissäätiö and Maa- ja vesitekniikan tuki r.y.

#### References

- APHA (1992) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 18th edn. American Public Health Association, Washington
- Berg L van den (1977) Effect of temperature on growth and activity of a methanogenic culture utilising acetate. Can J Microbiol 23: 898–902
- Berthouex PM, Brown LC (1994) Statistics for environmental engineers. Lewis, Boca Raton, Fla
- Boyle WC, Ham RK (1974) Biological treatability of landfill leachate. J Water Pollut Control Fed 46: 860–872
- Dinopoulou G, Rudd T, Lester JN (1988) Anaerobic acidogenesis of a complex wastewater. I. The influence of operational parameters on reactor performance. Biotechnol Bioeng 31: 958– 968
- Fukuzaki S, Nishio N, Nagai S (1990) Kinetics of the methanogenic fermentation of acetate. Appl Environ Microbiol 56: 3158–3163
- Heitzer A, Kohler HPE, Reichert P, Hamer G (1991) Utility of phenomenological models for describing temperature dependence of bacterial growth. Appl Environ Microbiol 57: 2656– 2665
- Henry JG, Prasad D, Young H (1987) Removal of organics from leachates by anaerobic filter. Water Res 21: 1395–1399
- Huser BA, Wuhrmann K, Zehnder AJB (1982) Methanothrix soehngenii gen. nov. sp.nov., a new acetotrophic non-hydrogenoxidizing methane bacterium. Arch Microbiol 132: 1–9
- Kalyuzhnyi SV, Sklyar VI, Davlyatshina MA, Parshina SN, Simankova MV, Kostrikina NA, Nozhevnikova AN (1996) Organic removal and microbiological features of UASBreactor under various organic loading rates. Biores Technol 55: 47–54
- Keenan PJ, Iza J, Switzenbaum MS (1993) Inorganic solids development in a pilot-scale anaerobic reactor treating municipal solid waste landfill leachate. Water Environ Res 65: 181–188
- Kennedy KJ, Berg L van den (1982) Stability and performance of anaerobic fixed film reactors during hydraulic overloading at 10–35 °C. Water Res 16: 1391–1398
- Kettunen RH, Pulkkinen EM, Rintala JA (1996) Biological treatment at low temperature of sulfur-rich phenols containing oil shale ash leachate. Water Res 30: 1395–1402
- Kettunen RH, Rintala JA (1997) Performance of an on-site UASB reactor treating leachate at low temperature. Water Res (in press)

- Koster IW, Lettinga G (1985) Application of the upflow anaerobic sludge bed (UASB) process for treatment of complex waste-waters at low temperatures. Biotechnol Bioeng 27: 1411–1417
- Kotsyurbenko OR, Nozhevnikova AN, Zavarzin GA (1993) Methanogenic degradation of organic matter by anaerobic bacteria at low temperature. Chemosphere 27: 1745–1761
- Lawrence AW, McCarty PL (1969) Kinetics of methane fermentation in anaerobic treatment. J Water Pollut Control Fed 41: R1–R17
- Lin KC (1986) Organics removal by anaerobic-aerobic treatment of potato-processing wastewater at different temperatures. Water Pollut Res J Can 21: 218–224
- Lin CY, Noike T, Sato K, Matsumoto J (1987) Temperature characteristics of the methanogenesis process in anaerobic digestion. Water Sci Technol 19: 299–310
- Mennerich A (1987) Investigations on the two stage anaerobicaerobic treatment of high concentrated landfill leachates. In: Proceedings of the Tenth Annual Madison Waste Conference, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA. University of Wisconsid-Madison, 247–275
- Noike T, Endo G, Chang J-E, Yaguchi J-I, Matsumoto J-I (1985) Characteristics of carbohydrate degradation and the rate-limiting step in anaerobic digestion. Biotechnol Bioeng 27: 1482–1489
- Novak JT (1974) Temperature-substrate interactions in biological treatment. J Water Pollut Control Fed 46: 1984–1994
- Pavlostathis SG, Giraldo-Gomez E (1991) Kinetics of anaerobic treatment: a critical review. Crit Rev Environ Control 21: 411–490
- Rebac S, Ruskova J, Gerbens S, Lier JB van, Stams AJM, Lettinga G (1995) High-rate anaerobic treatment of wastewater under psychrophilic conditions. J Ferment Bioeng 80: 499–506
- SFS standard 5504 (1988) Determination of chemical oxygen demand (COD Cr) in water with the closed tube method. Oxidation with dichromate. Finnish Standards Association, Helsinki
- Viraraghavan T, Kikkeri SR (1990) Effect of temperature on anaerobic filter treatment of dairy wastewater. Water Sci Technol 22: 191–198
- Westermann P, Ahring BK (1987) Dynamics of methane production, sulfate reduction, and denitrification in a permanently waterlogged alder swamp. Appl Environ Microbiol 53: 2554–2559
- Westermann P, Ahring BK, Mah RA (1989) Temperature compensation in *Methanosarcina barkeri* by modulation of hydrogen and acetate affinity. Appl Environ Microbiol 55: 1262–1266
- Wu W-M, Jain MK, Thiele JH, Zeikus JG (1995) Effect of storage on the performance of methanogenic granules. Water Res 29: 1445–1452
- Yan Y-G, Tay J-H (1996) Brewery wastewater treatment in UASB reactor at ambient temperature. J Environ Eng 122: 550–553
- Yang ST, Okos MR (1987) Kinetic study and mathematical modeling of methanogenesis of acetate using pure cultures of methanogens. Biotechnol Bioeng 30: 661–667