Application of N-15 dilution for simultaneous estimation of nitrification and nitrate reduction in soil-water columns

C.W. LINDAU¹, R.D. DeLAUNE², M.L. WILLIAMS¹ and W.H. PATRICK, JR.²

¹Nuclear Science Center and Laboratory for Wetland Soils and Sediments Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA and ²Laboratory for Wetland Soils and Sediments Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

Received 29 October 1987. Revised April 1988

Key words: denitrification, mineralization, N-cycling, rice soils, stable N isotopes

Abstract

Nitrification and denitrification rates were estimated simultaneously in soil-floodwater columns of a Crowley silt loam (Typic Albaqualfs) rice soil by an ¹⁵N isotopic dilution technique. Labeled NO₃⁻ was added to the floodwater of soil-water columns, half were treated with urea fertilizer. The (NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻)-N and (NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻)-N concentrations in the floodwater were measured over time and production and reduction rates for NO₃⁻ calculated. Nitrate reduction in the urea amended columns averaged 515 μ mol N m⁻²h⁻¹ and nitrification averaged 395 μ mol N m⁻²h⁻¹ over the 35–153 d incubation. The nitrification rate for 4–19 d sampling period (1,560 μ mol N m⁻²h⁻¹) in the urea amended columns was almost 9 times greater than the reduction rate (175 μ mol N m⁻²h⁻¹) over the same period. Without the addition of urea the NO₃⁻ production rate averaged 32 μ mol N m⁻²h⁻¹ and reduction 101 μ mol N m⁻²h⁻¹.

Introduction

Recovery of applied nitrogen to flooded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) rarely exceeds 40% and denitrification is thought to be a major N loss mechanism (DeDatta, 1981; Patrick, 1982). Nitrogen balance studies and other indirect methods (isotope dilution) are used to estimate denitrification in flooded rice soils. Direct field measurement of evolved N_2 is severely restricted due to accuracy of field measurements and cost and availability of high precision isotope ratio mass spectrometers (Parkin, 1984).

Nitrification-denitrification reactions are significant processes known to occur simultaneous in flooded rice systems where both aerobic and anaerobic zones exist (Reddy and Patrick, 1984). In flooded rice these reactions can occur in (1) the surface oxidized soil layer and the underlying reduced soil zone, and (2) the rhizosphere. The significance of nitrification-denitrification reactions in waterlogged soils as a N loss mechanism has been previously reported for rice soils (Fillery and Vlek, 1982; Reddy and Patrick, 1984).

The objective of this study was to determine the simultaneous formation (nitrification) and reduction of NO_3^- in rice soil water columns by isotope dilution of added labeled N.

Materials and methods

A Crowley silt loam soil (Typic Albaqualfs) was used for the study. It contained 7.0 g total C kg⁻¹, 0.8 g total N kg⁻¹, a cation exchange capacity (CEC) of 9.4 cmol (+) kg⁻¹ of soil and a pH of 5.8 (1:1 soil/water). The soil contained 10.8% clay and 70.7% silt.

In the laboratory, the air-dried and ground soil was placed into four polyvinyl chloride (PVC) containers (36-cm length by 27-cm i.d.). The soil-water columns consisted of a 10-cm layer of soil and 20-cm floodwater depths and were preincubated at 25°C for 30 d for development of a thin soil surfaceoxidized layer.

The rates of production (nitrification) and consumption (reduction) of NO_3^- were determined over time by measuring the floodwater concentration of $NO_2^- + NO_3^-$ and the corresponding N isotopic composition. If only NO_3^- reduction and nitrification are responsible for the changes in the soil-water column NO_3^- pool, the rates of production and reduction can be calculated using equations outlined by Koike and Hattori (1978).

Results and discussion

Inorganic $(NO_3^- + NO_2^-)$ -N and $(NO_3^- +$ NO_{2}^{-})-¹⁵N concentrations in the floodwater of the soil-water microcosms with incubation time are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. The decrease in $(NO_3^- + NO_2^-)$ -N and $(NO_3^- + NO_2^-)$ -¹⁵N for both sets of columns (35-153d) and the increase in $(NO_3^- + NO_2^-)$ -N content for columns 3 and 4 (4-19d) were linear over time. Correlation coefficients ranged from 0.97 to 0.99 and were highly significant (P < 0.01). No significant correlations were calculated between the $(NO_3^- - NO_2^-)$ -N and 0-35 d (columns 1 and 2) and 19-35 d (columns 3 and 4) sampling intervals. For columns 1 and 2 (no urea added) the rates of total N decrease were 0.128 and 0.117 μ g N ml⁻¹d⁻¹, respectively and labeled inorganic N decreased at an average rate of $0.002 \,\mu g \, N \, m l^{-1} d^{-1}$ over the 35-153 d incubation period. The mean rate of total $NO_3^- + NO_2^$ removal was about 60 times greater than ¹⁵N removal from the floodwater of columns 1 and 2. In



Fig. 1. Reduction of $(NO_3^- + NO_2^-)$ -N in rice soil-water columns (μ g NO₃ + NO₂ - N ml⁻¹) Changes with time (days) in floodwater (NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻)-N (\blacksquare , \blacktriangle) and (NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻)-¹⁵N (\square , △) for duplicate columns.



Fig. 2. Reduction and production of $(NO_3^- + NO_2^-)$ -N (μ g NO₃ + NO₂ - N ml⁻¹) in urea amended rice soil-water columns. Changes *with time* (days) in floodwater ($NO_3^- + NO_2^-$)-N (\blacksquare , \blacktriangle) and ($NO_3^- + NO_2^-$)-¹⁵N (\square , \triangle) for duplicate columns.

the urea amended columns (3 and 4) the decrease in total N rates were 0.247 and 0.199 μ g N ml⁻¹d⁻¹, respectively and ¹⁵N-(NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻) decreased at the same rate as columns 1 and 2. In addition to the linear decreases in total and labeled NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻ a linear increase in (NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻)-N was observed for columns 3 and 4 over the 4–19 d incubation (Fig. 2). The rates of increase were 1.980 and 2.050 μ g N ml⁻¹d⁻¹, respectively.

The mean rate of nitrification in columns 1 and 2 was 3.8 μ mol N 1⁻¹d⁻¹ and the mean rate of NO₃⁻ reduction was 11.9 μ mol N 1⁻¹d⁻¹ over the 35–153 d incubation (Table 1). When urea was applied the mean nitrification rate was 46.7 μ mol N 1⁻¹d⁻¹ and reduction was 60.9 μ mol N 1⁻¹d⁻¹. Over the 4–19 d incubation of columns 3 and 4 mean nitrification (184.7 μ mol N 1⁻¹d⁻¹) was over eight fold greater than NO₃⁻ reduction (20.8 μ mol N 1⁻¹d⁻¹). The columns amended with urea showed increases of twelvefold and fivefold in production and reduction of NO₃⁻, respectively compared to the unamended columns. Urea addition accounted for an

Incubation interval, days	$NO_3 + NO_2$ production		$NO_3 + NO_2$ reduction	
	columns 1 and 2	columns ^a 3 and 4	columns 1 and 2	columns 3 and 4
35-46	2.5 ^b	28.3	9.6	35.4
46-53	6.1	32.2	12.1	48.6
53-64	3.2	29.9	14.9	34.9
64-78	3.6	29.5	13.8	59.6
78-96	4.6	49.7	11.9	61.0
96-110	6.5	13.3	17.6	27.3
110-124	0.7	52.1	9.4	68.4
124-138	5.7	72.8	15.1	84.3
138-153	1.9	112.8	3.0	128.6
Mean ± SE	3.8 ± 0.7	46.7 ± 10.0	11.9 ± 1.4	60.9 + 10.4
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Mean } (\mu \text{mol } N \\ m^{-2}h^{-1}) \end{array} $	32	395	101	515
4–7		20.0		0.0
7-11		90.9		30.5
11-14		447.7		52.5
14-19		180.0		0.0
Mean ± SE		184.7 ± 93.6		20.8 ± 12.8
Mean (μ mol N m ⁻² h ⁻¹)		1,560		175

Table 1. Nitrification and nitrate reduction (μ mol N l⁻¹d⁻¹) in soil-water columns of a Crowley silt loam rice soil

"aurea applied (12 g N m^{-2})

^bmean value of two columns

estimated 92% of NO_3^- production and 80% of reduction in the floodwater of microcosms 3 and 4.

Nitrate production and reduction rates over the 4–19 d incubation for columns 3 and 4 were approximately fourfold and one-third, respectively of the mean nitrification and reduction rates for days 35–153. Urea is rapidly hydrolyzed and nitrified creating a large available soil-water NO_3^- pool that can undergo denitrification (Reddy an Patrick, 1984).

The isotope dilution technique is a measure of the total processes responsible for NO_3^- reduction and is an indirect measure of denitrification. Denitrification would best be quantified by direct measurement of N₂O and N₂ production. Direct measurement has been achieved in nonflooded soils using gas chromatography (N₂O) and mass spectrometry to measure the ²⁸N₂, ²⁹N₂, and ³⁰N₂ intensities simultaneously (Mosier *et al.*, 1986; Mulvaney and Boast, 1986). Direct field measurements of N₂ from flooded rice is severely lacking and is attributed to the flooded soil condition which may be entrapping significant quantities of denitrification N₂ (Lindau *et al.*, 1988).

The nitrification and NO_3^- reduction rates in the amended and unamended columns (Table 1) were similar to published values for other flooded systems. Nitrification and denitrification rates of approximately 80 to 240 μ mol N m⁻²h⁻¹ were reported by Jenkins and Kemp (1984) in estuarine sediments. Reddy and Patrick (1986) reported N loss rates of about 100 μ mol N m⁻²h⁻¹ in flooded rice soil columns without plants and potential N loss rates up to 570 μ mol N m⁻²h⁻¹ with rice plants when elevated nitrogen concentrations were injected into the rhizosphere. Smith and DeLaune (1984) reported denitrification rates (acetylene reduction) following urea addition to the surface of a flooded rice soil of 10 to 15 μ mol N m⁻²h⁻¹ during the first week under greenhouse conditions.

The results presented demonstrated that the ¹⁵N isotope dilution technique can be used to understand the fate and transformations of N in soil-floodwater systems. Nitrification and NO_3^- reduction can occur simultaneous where aerobicanaerobic soil zones exist. The results also point out the contribution of the soil NH_4^+ pool to floodwater NO_3^- content.

Acknowledgement

Funding for this research was provided by the United States Agency for International Development Grant No. DAN-1406-G-SS-4091-00.

References

DeDatta S K 1981 Principles and Practices of Rice Production. John Wiley and Sons, New York. p. 515.

Fillery I R P and Vlek P L G 1982 Plant and Soil 65, 153-169.

Hauck R D 1982 Methods of Soil Analysis. Eds. A L Page et al. pp 735-779.

Jenkins M C and Kemp W M 1984 Limnol. Oceanogr. 29, 609-619.

- Keeney D R and Nelson D W 1982 Methods of Soil Analysis. Eds. A L Page *et al.* pp 643-698.
- Koike I and Hattori A 1978 Appl Environ. Microbiol. 35, 853-857.
- Lindau C W et al. 1988 Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 52, 538-540.
- Mosier A R et al., 1986 Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 50, 344-348.
- Mulvaney R W and Boast C W 1986 Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 50, 360-363.
- Parkin T B et al., 1984 Soil Biol. Biochem. 16, 323-330.
- Patrick W H Jr 1982 Nitrogen in Agricultural Soils. Ed. F J Stevenson. pp 449-465.
- Reddy K R and Patrick W H Jr 1984 CRC Crit. Rev. Environ. Control 13, 273-309.
- Reddy K R and Patrick W H Jr 1986 Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 50, 649-651.
- Smith C J and DeLaune R D 1984 Plant and Soil 79, 287-290.