*Fluid Phase Equilibria*, 53 (1989) 23–30 Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., Amsterdam — Printed in The Netherlands

MEASUREMENT AND PREDICTION OF PHASE EQUILIBRIA FOR THE CO<sub>2</sub>-ETHANOL-WATER SYSTEM

H. Inomata, K. Arai and S. Saito Department of Chemical Engineering Tohoku University, Sendai, JAPAN 980

S. Ohba and K. Takeuchi Technology Research & Development Center, Toyo Engineering Corp., 12-10, 6-Chome, Higashi-funabashi, Funabashi, JAPAN 273

# ABSTRACT

In relation to the concentration of ethanol solution from fermentation using near- or super-critical CO2 extraction, vapor-liquid equilibria for the CO<sub>2</sub>-Ethanol-Water system were measured at 9.6, 17.2, 19.6, 25.0 and 32.0 °C up to 9 MPa in the wide range of ethanol concentration, including very dilute region (0.1-5wt%) , where no data have been reported. A flow type apparatus equipped with a back pressure regulator was adopted for measurements in order to maintain the feed composition. From our experimental results, we concluded that,

- There are certain T and P conditions which give a maximum separation factor.
- 2) In the low concentration region of ethanol, the distribution coefficient of ethanol is about 2/3 of the value in the higher ethanol concentration region.

The measured data were compared with the results predicted by Patel-Teja equation and Group-Contribution equations of state (GC-EOS).

### **INTRODUCTION**

Supercritical fluid extraction of ethanol from the fermentation aqueous solutions has several attractive aspects as an ethanol recovery process and has been studied by many researchers in this decade. However, definite conclusion has not been made on its applicability. This is partly attributed to the scarcity of phase equilibrium data and the lack of reliable

0378-3812/89/\$03.50 © 1989 Elsevier Science Publishers B.V.

prediction methods.

In a previous paper (Takishima et al. 1986), we reported phase equilibrium data for the  $CO_2$ -ethanol-water system at supercritical conditions of  $CO_2$  in the relatively high ethanol concentration region. The results of other researchers [Kuk and Motagna(1983), Kreim(1983) and Moses et al. (1982)] were also reported for the high ethanol concentration region, while no data have been reported in the ethanol dilute region, which is necessary for designing or examining the extraction tower performance, especially of the stripping section.

In this work, vapor-liquid equilibria for the CO<sub>2</sub>-ethanolwater system were measured at 9.6 - 32.0 °C up to 9 MPa in the wide range of ethanol concentration including the very dilute region.

## EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS AND PROCEDURE

In this work, a flow type apparatus, which had an overflow type liquid-level-control system equipped with a back pressure regulator as shown in Fig. 1, was used to maintain the feed composition. The apparatus and experimental procedure are almost same as those discussed elsewhere (Inomata et al., 1986) except that a cooling jacket was added before the preheater zone and a gas chromatograph was used for composition analysis.

A ethanol-water mixture, which was prepared at a specified composition, was compressed and mixed with a gas stream of  $CO_2$ , and this heterogeneous mixture was heated or cooled to the desired temperature in the cooling jacket and preheater zone. A static mixer was used to attain equilibrium, and the equilibrated phases were separated in a cell. The liquid stream from the bottom of the cell was sampled and measured volumetrically for gravimetrically for ethanol-water  $CO_2$ and mixture whose composition was analyzed by a gas chromatograph. Equilibrium the liquid phase were determined compositions of from these quantities. The vapor stream from the top of the cell was allowed to flow into a gas chromatograph for on-line composition analysis. Stainless steel columns (1.5m long x 3mm i.d.) packed with PEG6000 for liquid phase and with Porapak-Q for vapor phase were used and operated isothermally at 120°C.

In determining the equilibrium composition, small corrections were made for the amount of  $CO_2$  dissolved in the ethanol solution. Each sample was analyzed at lease three times and the reproducibility was within 3%.

 $\mathbf{24}$ 



Fig.1 Schematic diagram of experimental apparatus

# MATERIALS AND EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS

Ethanol with purity 99.5 vol% supplied by Wako Pure Chemical Ind. Co., Ltd. and liquefied CO<sub>2</sub> with purity 99.9 vol% supplied by Nippon Sanso K.K. were used without further purification. Water was ion-exchanged and purified by distillation.

We measured vapor-liquid equilibria for various temperatures, pressures and the concentrations of feed ethanol solution. Table 1 shows the experimental conditions.

Table 1 Experimental Conditions								
Тетр. (°С)	Press. (atm)							
17.9, 31.0	20 - 63							
24.7	6 - 73							
9.6,17.2,19.6	45 - 88							
25.0,32.0	"							
	ental Conditions Temp. (°C) 17.9, 31.0 24.7 9.6,17.2,19.6 25.0,32.0							

Table 2 Vapor-liquid equilibria for carbon dioxide-ethanol-water system

Pressure	Equ Liquio	ilibrium d Phase	Composition	n (mole Vapo	fraction or Phase	ר)	Separation Factor
[atm]	C02 .	Ethanol	Water	CO2	Ethanol	Water	
temp = 9.6 °	'C						
45 6	0.0275	0.0173	0.9552	0.9993	0.0002	0.0005	19.8
59.3	0.0271	0.0178	0.9552	0.9964	0.0019	0.0017	60.6
73.6	0.0283	0.0176	0.9541	0.9964	0.0018	0.0019	51.7
87.6	0.0287	0.0174	0.9540	0.9961	0.0019	0.0020	52.4
temp = 17.2	°C				-		
45.1	0.0226	0.0156	0.9618	0.9992	0.0003	0.0006	30.8
60.0	0.0250	0.0186	0.9564	0.9957	0.0031	0.0012	132.8
73 6	0 0288	0 0181	0 9531	0.9952	0.0024	0.0024	52.7
88.1	0.0270	0.0180	0.9550	0.9955	0.0022	0.0024	48.6
temp = 19.6	°C						-
45 9	0 0226	0.0148	0.9626	0.9990	0.0003	0.0007	25.8
59 7	0.0255	0 0172	0 9573	0 9961	0 0024	0 0016	84 4
74 0	0.0248	0.0173	0.9579	0 9952	0 0025	0 0023	61 0
87 6	0.0272	8810.0	0.9561	0 9950	0.0026	0 0025	59 1
temp = 25.0	°C	0.0100	0. 5001	0.0000	0.0020	0. 0010	00.1
15 7	ດັດຈຸດຣ	0 0149	0 9645	0 9987	0 0004	0 0009	28 1
40.2 50 5	0.0243	0.0145	0.9697	0.9984	0.0004	0.0000	40.6
79 7	0.0240	0.0158	0.9591	0.0053	0.0021	0.0076	49.8
87 1	0.0252	0.0158	0.9586	0.9946	0.0021	0.0028	57 7
temp = 32 0	°C	0. 0131	0. 0000	0. 5540	0.0020	0.0020	01.1
10mp - 52.0	ດັດເຂດ	0 0121	0 9692	0 9985	0 0005	0 0009	44 2
59.9	0.0203	0.0116	0.9681	0.9983	0.0006	0.0000	52 1
74 5	0.0239	0.0166	0.9595	0.9957	0 0020	0 0024	48.7
88.6	0.0250	0.0151	0.9599	0 9949	0 0022	0.0028	50 0
						•	
lable 3 vag	or-liqu	ia equiti	ioria for ca	rbon alo:		ano i - wa	ter system
Food EtON	 F.		m Composit	ion (mol	fract	ion	Senaration
Feed Eton	Lieui	d Dhees	am composit	IOII (MOIO	- Dhace		Eastor
[ m/+ %/ 1	CO2	Ethanol	Water	CO2	Fthand	Water	Pactor
			"atci				
temp = 16.6	°C	press ≠	59.8 atm				
0.5	0 0258	0 0012	0 9730	0 9988	0 0002	0 0010	161 3
5.	0.0272	0.0196	0.9532	0.9963	0.0028	0.0010	141.3
10	0.0256	0 0356	0 9388	0 9944	0 0046	0 0011	113 5
30	0 0264	0 1061	0 8675	0 9757	0 0202	0 0041	40 7
30	0.0316	0 1286	0.8398	0 9723	0 0229	0 0049	30.7
temp = 18 6	°C	Dress =	59 3 atm	0.0120	0. 0220	0.0040	00.1
5	0 0241	0 0147	0 9612	0 9970	0 0019	0 0011	117 7
5	0 0252	0.0161	0 9587	0.9962	0.0028	0.0010	158.6
10	0.0253	0.0331	0.9416	0.9945	0.0045	0 0010	122 4
10	0.0260	0.0356	0.9410	0.9945	0.0040	0.0010	108 4
tamp = 10 6	•0.0200	0.0330	60 2 atm	0. 5551	0.0040	0. 0010	100.4
1 temp - 15.0	ດ້ດາຂາ	0 0042	00.2 411	0 0094	0 0005	0 0011	110 5
1.	0.0203	0.0042	0. 5055	0. 9984	0.0003	0.0011	94 4
5.	0.0255	0.0172	0.9573	0.9961	0.0024	0.0016	04.4
10.	0.0230	0.0333	0. 9301	0. 9932		0.0023	J1. Z
10.	0.0256	0. 0385	0. 9339	0.9921	0.0052	0.0027	4/.4
30.	0. 0260	0.1040	0.8700	0.9815	0.0152	0.0033	38.5
30.	0.0310	0.1285	0.8405	0.9737	0. 0219	0.0043	33.1
temp = 24.8	0.0055	press =	13.9 atm	0 0070	0.0000	0 000-	
U. 5	0.0256	0.0012	0.9732	0.9973	0.0002	0.0025	66.2
1.	0.0250	0.0039	0.9711	0.9974	0.0005	0.0022	56.7
5.	0.0254	0.0195	0.9552	0.9949	0.0027	0.0024	55.1
5.	0.0256	0.0156	0.9588	U. 9952	0.0022	0.0027	50.1
30.	0.0308	0.1184	0.8508	0.9718	0.0232	0.0050	33.7
30.	0.0300	0.1311	0.8389	0.9707	0.0242	0.0051	30.4

### EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To test the reliability of the experimental apparatus and procedure, we first measured isothermal vapor-liquid equilibria for the  $CO_2$ -ethanol and the  $CO_2$ -water binary systems because reliable data have been reported for these systems. Figures 2 and 3 show the comparison between our data and those of Wiebe et al. (1940, 1941) and Takishima et al. (1986). Agreement is good within 0.001 in mole fraction.

We measured the ternary system at several temperatures and pressures by maintaining the feed ethanol concentration of 5wt%. The raw experimental data are listed in Table 2. The separation factor  $\alpha$  of ethanol and water between the liquid phase and the vapor phase is defined by the ratio of the distribution coefficient of ethanol and water;

 $\alpha = (Y_{ethanol} / X_{ethanol}) / (Y_{water} / X_{water})$ 

where  $Y_i$  and  $X_i$  are the mole fractions of component i in the vapor and liquid phase, respectively.





Fig.3 Vapor-liquid equilibria for the CO<sub>2</sub>water system

The value of separation factor has a great influence on the economic feasibility of the extraction tower. Figure 4 shows the value of separation factor evaluated from the experimental A condition around 17°C and data. 60 atm gives maximum separation factor. Then we measured vapor-liquid equilibria for CO<sub>2</sub>-ethanol-water system around 17°C and 60 atm for the various feed ethanol concentration (0.1 to 30 wt%). Table 3 presents the raw data. The values of separation factor at 16.6. 18.6. 19.6°C were higher compared with those at 24.8 °C.

McHugh et al. (1986) concluded from their distribution plot that (x-y)the extraction condition did not influence the extractability and that the x-y relation of ethanol could Ъe represented by a straight line with a gradient of about 0.09 a t the conditions examined [20-50°C, 70-200 atm]. However, as shown Fig. 5, our results supported their conclusion only i n in the ethanol higher concentration region, but in the verv dilute region ( less than 10wt% ethanol ), the gradient decreased t n about 0, 06.



Fig.4 Separation factor of ethanol

Fig.5 Distribution plot of ethanol

28

### CALCULATION RESULTS

Vapor-liquid equilibria for the  $CO_2$ -ethanol-water system were predicted using equations of state. We used Patel-Teja equation (Teja et al. 1982) and GC-EOS (Jorgensen et al. 1984). For the Patel-Teja equation, the following mixing rules proposed by Takishima et al. (1986) were used.

Mixing rules 
$$a_m = \sum_i x_i \sum_j x_{ji} (a_j a_i)^{0.5}$$
  
 $b_m = \sum_i x_i b_i$   
 $c_m = \sum_i x_i c_i$   
 $x_{ji} = exp[-(\lambda_{ji} - \lambda_{ii})/RT]$ 

where  $x_{ji}$  is the local mole fraction of molecule j around molecule i, and  $\eta_{ji}$  is the interaction energy between molecules j and i. For  $\eta_{ji}$ , we used the values determined in the previous paper from the binary data.

Figure 6 shows the predicted results for the ternary system. Agreement between the experimental and predicted binodal points with GC-EOS equation is fairly good, while that with Patel-Teja equation is poor. However as shown in Fig. 7, both GC-EOS and Patel-Teja equations fared poorly in predicting the behavior of the separation factor.



Fig.6 Vapor-liquid equilibria for the CO<sub>2</sub>-ethanol-water system

Fig.7 Comparisom between the predicted and experimental separation factor of ethanol

•:EXP.

GC-EOS

30

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

Vapor-liquid equilibrium data were measured for the  $\rm CO_2$ -ethanol-water system for wide ethanol feed concentrations using a flow type apparatus.

Experimental results revealed the followings;

- 1) The separation factor of ethanol becomes maximum at the operation condition of around  $17^{\circ}$ C and 60 atm.
- In the very dilute region of ethanol, the distribution coefficient of ethanol decreases to 2/3 of the reported value by McHugh et al.

Both Patel-Teja equation and GC-EOS could accurately not represent the behavior of the separation factor, although GC-EOS did represent the binodal curve well.

## REFERENCE

Brignole, E. A. Skjold-Jorgensen, S. and Fredenslund, Aa. 1984 Application of a local composition equation of state to supercritical fluid phase equilibrium problem. Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem., 88: 801 Inomata, H., Arai. K. and Saito, S., 1986. Measurement of vapor-liquid equilibria. Fluid Phase Equilibria, 29: 225-232 Kreim, K. 1983. Doctoral Thesis, Technical Universitat of Hamburg Kuk, M. S. and Montagna, J. C., 1983. Solubility of oxygenerated hydrocarbons in supercritical carbon dioxide. In "Chemical Engineering at supercritical fluid conditions" Ed. M.E. Paulaitis, J. Penninger, R. Gray, and P. Davidson, 101. Ann Arbor Science McHugh, M. and Krukonis, V., 1986. "Supercritical Fluid Extraction", Butterworths Moses, J. M., Goklen, K. E. and de Fillipio, R. D., 1982. Pilot plant critical fluid extraction of organics from water. at the Annual AIChE Meeting, Los Angeles, November Patel, N. C. and Teja, A. S., 1982. A new cubic equation of state for fluids and fluid mixtures, Chem. Eng. Sci., 37: 463-473 Skjold-Jorgensen, S., 1984. Gas solubility calculations II. Application a new group-contribution equation of state. of Fluid Phase Equilibria. 16: 317 Takishima, S., Saeki, K., Arai, K. and Saito, S., 1986. Phase equilibria for CO<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH-H<sub>2</sub>O system, J. Chem. Eng. Japan, 19: 48-56 Wiebe, R., and Gaddy, V.L., 1940. Solubility of carbon dioxide in water at various temperatures from 12 to 40 and at pressures to 500 atm. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 62: 815-817 1941. Vapor phase composition of carbon dioxidewater mixtures at various temperatures and at pressures to 700 atm. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 63 : 475-477

30