## Letter

## Experimental Study of Transitional Packed Bed Flow in a Standpipe

D. P. O'DEA, Y. O. CHONG and L. S. LEUNG

Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Qld. 4067 (Australia) (Received September 23, 1987; in revised form February 25, 1988)

In any fluid-solid system, the flow regimes which may occur can be broadly categorized as either fluidized or non-fluidized. In fluidized flow, the particles are held in suspension as a result of fluid drag and there is significant independent motion of the solid particles. It is well established that the voidage in the suspension expands with increasing slip velocity between the two phases. In nonfluidized flow, variation of voidage with increasing fluid drag is largely ignored in the literature because the particles move collectively with little relative motion between them. However, this study provides further evidence that non-fluidized flow voidage does increase with increasing fluid drag as suggested by some previous workers [1, 2]. Taking account of this voidage variation achieves a significant improvement in the calculated pressure gradients.

For non-fluidized flow, the following two sub-divisions were proposed by Kojabashian [3] and adopted by Leung and Jones [4]:

(i) PACFLO

*i.e.*, packed bed flow, where

 $v_{\rm sl} \le 0 \tag{1}$ 

 $\epsilon = \epsilon_{\rm c}$  (2)

and

(ii) TRANPACFLO

*i.e.*, transitional packed bed flow, where

$$0 < v_{\rm sl} < \frac{U_{\rm mf}}{\epsilon_{\rm mf}} \tag{3}$$

 $\epsilon_{\rm c} < \epsilon < \epsilon_{\rm mf}$  (4)

$$=\epsilon(v_{\rm sl})$$
 (5)

In PACFLO, the fluid moves downwards faster than the solids, thus creating a negative slip velocity. The solids are compacted by fluid drag and tend to arrange themselves to the voidage of a vibrated packed bed.

For TRANPACFLO, the solids are partially supported by fluid drag. Knowlton *et al.* [1] suggested for this flow regime the use of a linear relationship between voidage and slip velocity as follows:

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_{\rm c} + (\epsilon_{\rm mf} - \epsilon_{\rm c}) \frac{v_{\rm sl}}{(U_{\rm mf}/\epsilon_{\rm mf})}$$
 (6)

where

e

$$v_{\rm sl} = \frac{U_{\rm s}}{1 - \epsilon} - \frac{U_{\rm g}}{\epsilon} \tag{7}$$

The pressure gradient in non-fluidized flow is described by the modified Ergun equation [5],

$$-\frac{dp}{dz} = K_1 v_{s1} + K_2 v_{s1} |v_{s1}|$$
(8)

where

$$K_1 = \frac{150\,\mu_{\rm g}(1-\epsilon)^2}{(\phi_{\rm s}d_{\rm p})^2\epsilon^2} \tag{9}$$

and

$$K_2 = \frac{1.75\rho_{\rm g}(1-\epsilon)}{\phi_{\rm s}d_{\rm p}\epsilon} \tag{10}$$

It is important to realize the sensitivity of the modified Ergun equation to the value of the voidage used. To demonstrate this, pressure gradients were measured in a 1.5-m tall, 19.5-mm diameter overflow standpipe operating in the TRANPACFLO mode (see Fig. 1). Geldart Class B particles flowed countercurrently through air injected 100 mm above the bottom orifice. Figure 2 compares the experimental pressure gradients with those predicted by the modified Ergun equation. It is clear that the TRANPACFLO voidage should not be assumed to be either  $\epsilon_c$  or  $\epsilon_{mf}$ . The voidage should be calculated by solving eqns. (6) and (7) simultaneously. This greatly improves the accuracy of the predicted pressure gradients.

© Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in The Netherlands

0032-5910/88/\$3.50



Fig. 1. Experimental apparatus.

## List of symbols

$d_{p}$		particle diameter	
v	17	In a second a second a second a	:

constants defined in eqns. (9)  $K_1, K_2$ and (10)

pressure

- $p \\ U_{g}$ superficial gas velocity (positive downwards
- $U_{\rm mf}$ minimum fluidization velocity
- $U_{\rm s}$ superficial solids velocity (positive downwards)
- actual slip velocity (positive up $v_{\rm sl}$ wards)
- Ζ height of solids

Greek symbols

- voidage  $\epsilon$
- vibrated packed bed voidage  $\epsilon_{\rm c}$
- minimum fluidization voidage  $\epsilon_{\rm mf}$
- gas viscosity  $\mu_{g}$
- gas density  $\rho_{g}$
- $\phi_{\rm s}$ shape factor

## References

- 1 T. M. Knowlton, I. Hirsan and L. S. Leung, in J. F. Davidson and D. L. Keairns (eds.), Fludization, Cambridge University Press, 1978, 128.
- 2 H. W. A. Dries, in J. R. Grace and J. M. Matsun (eds.), Fluidization, Plenum Press, New York, 1980, p. 493.
- 3 C. Kojabashian, Ph.D. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA (1958).
- 4 L. S. Leung and P. J. Jones, Powder Technol., 20 (1978) 145.
- 5 S. M. Yoon and D. Kunii, Ind. Eng. Chem. Process Des. Dev., 9 (1970) 559.



Fig. 2. Transitional packed bed flow pressure gradient in a 19.5-mm diameter standpipe: air-glass ballotini,  $d_p = 510 \ \mu m$ ,  $\phi_s = 0.866$ ,  $\rho_s = 2480 \ \text{kg/m}^3$ ,  $\epsilon_c = 0.36$ ,  $\epsilon_{mf} = 0.43$ ,  $U_{mf} = 0.23 \ \text{m/s}$ ; -----, eqn. (8) with  $\epsilon$  given by eqn. (6); ---, eqn. (8) with  $\epsilon = \epsilon_c$ ; ----, eqn. (8) with  $\epsilon = \epsilon_{mf}$ .