LEGUME RESIDUE AND SOIL WATER EFFECTS ON DENITRIFICATION IN SOILS OF DIFFERENT TEXTURES

 M . S. AULAKH.^{*} J. W. DORAN,[†] D. T. WALTERS and J. F. POWER

USDA-ARS, I19 Keim Hall. East Campus, University of Nebraska-Lincoln. and USDA-ARS, Lincoln. NE 68583-0915. U.S.A.

(Accepted 28 June **1991)**

Summary-Legume cover crops commonly used to supply additional N and reduce potential for over-winter N leaching losses may also influence denitrification depending upon soil water status and soil type. Interrelationships between incorporated hairy vetch *(Vicia cillosa)* **residue and soil water status on denitritication in coarse, medium and fine textured soils were investigated in the laboratory. Repacked** soil cores were incubated. 10, 20 and 30 d with and without acetylene (C_2H_2) . Denitrification losses were $20-200 \mu g$ N kg⁻¹ from each soil when 60% of the soil pore space was filled with water and increased **to from 14.0 to 18.6mg N kg-' at 90% water-filled-pore space (WFPS). Incorporation of vetch residue (2.5 g kg-') greatly stimulated denitrification (51.1-99.5 mg N kg-'), probably due to greater availability of organic C as indicated by higher CO, emissions. The major denitrification losses occurred during the first IO days and more so in residue-amended soils. The supply of C from incorporated legume crop residue was a major factor influencing denitrification especially when soil wetness restricted aeration and adequate nitrate was prcscnt. At similar water contents. rates of denitritication dithered greatly in soils of varying texture, but when varying water holding capacity and bulk density were accounted for using WFPS. all** soils behaved very similarly. Use of WFPS as an index of aeration status enabled identification that **ditTcrcnces in denitrification losses in vctch-amcndcd soils of varying texture resulted in part from varying** capacity to supply NO₃ and mctabolize organic matter. These results illustrate the utility of WFPS, **compared with soil water content, and its rcliahility as an indicator of rcduccd aeration dependent denitrilication for soils of varying tcxturc.**

INTRODUCTION

lncrcasing cost of inorganic fcrtilizcrs and dccrcasing soil productivity has prompted interest in the use of **annual lcgumcs as alternative N sources. Use of lcgumc cover crops in conservation tillage reduces erosion potential, improves surface and ground water quality (due to nutrient uptake and storage), increases organic matter content, enhances infiltmtion, improves soil structure, weed control, and enhances soil N availability (Langdale ef al.. 1991; Sharplcy and Smith, 1991; Doran and Smith, 1991). The potential for legume crops to conserve soil and water resources has been well documented over diverse cropping environments in the U.S.A. (Power, 1987). as an alternative to summer fallow in Canadian Prairies (Aulakh er** *al.,* **1983). and as green-manures in various cropping systems in Asia (Abrol and Palaniappen. 1988; Yadvinder-Singh cf al.. 1991). Green legume crop residues. at early growth period decompose rapidly when incorporated into soil and rclcasc not only mineral N but also supply considcrablc organic C to soil microorganisms. Thus, the addition of lcgumc residues could increase the losses of N through dcnitrification if soil is relatively wet or anaerobic** (Stefanson, 1972; John et al., 1989; Andren et al., **1990; Aulakh er al.. 199la).**

Soil tcxturc may influcncc the denitrification process in several ways. Exposed soil surfaces provide attachment sites for microbial cells and ncgativclycharged soil colloids may concentrate nutrients on the colloid surface (Focht and Verstractc, 1977). Higher rates of dcnitrification have been reported in finer-textured soils (Lund et al., 1974; Chaterpaul et al., 1980). This, however, may not be due to a **direct cffcct of the clay on the microorganisms since van der Staay and Focht (1977) were unable to show any differences in denitrification rates between clays of different particle size after removal of indigenous organic matter and subsequent addition of substrate and inoculum. The effect of soil tcxturc on denitrifica- (ion likely results from natural differences in capacity of soils to supply NO; and C. and also due to physical variations in soil structure, pore size, aggregation and water infiltration rates that affect aeration, water holding-absorption capacity and microcnviron**ment. In the field study of Chaterpaul *et al.* (1980), **increased denitrification associated with the degree of fineness of soil texture was directly related to soil water content.**

Potential for dcnitrification in soils is a complex interaction between aeration. nitrate availability, carbon substrate availability and other intrinsic "soil factors" (Firestone, 1982). It is relatively well-known that the absence of O_2 or reduced O_2 availability is required for both the synthesis and activity of denitri**fication enzymes. Quantification of 0, availability and related rates of dcnitrification in soils, however, is complicated by dynamic relationships between**

^{*}Present address: Department of Soils. Punjab Agricultural University. Ludhiana. Punjab IJIOO4. India. tAuthor for correspondence.

	Soil					
Characteristics	Houston Black	Gurdaspur	Tolewal			
Texture	Silty clay	Silt loam	Sandy loam			
Taxonomy	Udic pellustert	Udic haplustalf	Typic ustipsamment			
Location	Temple, Texas (U.S.A.)	Gurdaspur, Punjab (India)	Ludhiana, Punjab (India)			
Mechanical analysis						
Sand $(\%)$	13	29	71			
Silt(X)	43	50	18			
$Clay(\%)$	44	21	Ħ			
pН	7.2	7.2	7.8			
Organic C $(g \nvert g^{-1})$	11.0	5.7	4.0			
Total N $(g \, kg^{-1})$	1.2	0.7	0.5			
$NO_1^- - N$ (mg kg ⁻¹)*	164	111	101			
$NH4-N$ (mg kg ⁻¹)	5.6	2.2	1.0			
Bulk density (Mg m^{-3})	1.0	1.3	1.5			
At 60% WFPS						
Volumetric H, O (cm ³ cm ⁻³)	0.37	0.30	0.26			
Gravimetric H ₂ O (g g ⁻¹)	0.37	0.23	0.17			
At 90% WFPS						
Volumetric H, O (cm ¹ cm ⁻³)	0.56	0.46	0.39			
Gravimetric $H, O(g g^{-1})$	0.56	0.35	0.26			

Table 1. Soil characteristics, experimental bulk density, initial soil nitrate and ammonium-N concentrations, and soil water content at 60 and 90% WFPS for repacked cores of three surface soils

*Nitrate N content at the beginning of the incubation including amount of nitrate N added.

aeration potential $(O_2$ flux) and microbial oxygen use (Greenwood. 1975). While water content, matric potential and water-holding capacity all serve as relative predictors of microbial activity in soil, the expression of water content as a volumetric pcrccntage of soil pore space also encompasses the role of water as a barrier to O , diffusion and as such is a better measure of factors regulating aerationdependent microbial processes (Sommers et al., 1981).

In most soil dcnitrification rcscarch, soil water content is usually cxprcsscd on a gravimetric or volumetric basis or on soils' water-holding capacity without consideration of differences in density or porosity for soils of varying textures. Therefore, it has been difficult to obtain conclusive results on the effects of soil texture. To overcome problems of varying soil texture and bulk-density, variable factors such as water-filled pore space (WFPS), or alternatively airfilled pore space (IOO-WFPS), which incorporate both soil water content and bulk density, may be better indices of aeration dependent biological processes, such as dcnitrification. than soil water content (Doran et al., 1990; Skopp et al., 1990). However, when soil water contents approach or exceed field capacity, the percentage of soil pore space filled with air or water are considered better indicators of aerobic vs anaerobic microbial activity than either water content, matric tension, or water potential (Miller and Johnson, 1964; Sommers $et~al., 1981$).

Therefore. the present study was conducted to investigate the effects of hairy vetch (Vicia villosa) residue addition and soil water status (comparing water content with WFPS) on N losses via denitrification from soils of widely varying texture.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Soils used in the study were collected from the A horizon of benchmark sites. one in the United States and two in India. The soils varied in tcxturc, organic C, total N, and mineral N content (Table I). Airdried soils were crushed to pass through a 2 mm sicvc and thoroughly mixed. To rc-establish soil biological activity after collection and storage, all soils were conditioned bcforc experimentation by moistening bulk soil samples to 40% water-filled pore space (WFPS) and kept for 2 weeks in a growth chamber at 25° C (Doran et al., 1990). Water-filled pore space, synonymous with relative saturation. was calculated as follows: $WFPS = [(gravimetric water content × soil$ bulk dcnsity)/total soil porosity] whcrc soil porosity $=[1 - (soil bulk density/2.65)]$ and 2.65 was the assumed particle density of soil. Experimental treatments for each soil consisted of two soil water contents equivalent to 60 and 90% WFPS and two rates of hairy vetch residue (0 and 2.5 mg dry matter kg-' soil). Hairy vetch *(Viciu uillusu cv.* Madison) residue was collected green from a 60 day old crop which had a C: N ratio of 8 and a N content of 5.7% (on dry weight basis). Each treatment was triplicated in 3 groups (or batches) to incubate for various periods (Table 2). Repacked soil cores were prepared by placing moist conditioned soil (60g on oven dry basis) with or without incorporated hairy vetch residue

Table 2. Description of total incubation period and time of C₂H₂ addition, gas sampling and **KCI extraction**

Total incubation Batch period No. (days)		Sealed with C.H. on day	Gas sampling on day (s)	Extract with KCI on day	
	10	0, 1, 2, 3, 6	1, 2, 3, 6, 10	IO	
	20	10, 11, 13, 16	11, 13, 16, 20	20	
	30	20, 21, 24	21, 24, 30	30	

in 100 ml plastic vials and hand compacting to bulk densities representative of natural reconsolidation values for each soil.

Gurdaspur Sit and Tolewal SL had much lower soil nitrate N concentration than the Houston Black SiC and therefore KNO₃ was added in solution to raise their initial nitrate content (Table 1). Deionized water was added to obtain required WFPS and then individual vials were placed in 0.95-litre glass jars sealed with screw-cap lids in which a serum stopper had been fitted for gas sampling. Jars of Batch 1 were flushed with atmospheric air and 10% (V/V) acetylene (C_2H_2) was added at 0 d. All jars were kept at 25'C. Periodically, duplicate gas samples (l-ml) of the headspace of each C_2H_2 amended jar were taken for N , O and $CO₂$ analysis, then jars were flushed with atmospheric air, fresh C_2H_2 was added, and the jars were resealed. Jars of all batches were flushed and resealed in a manner similar to those receiving C_2H_2 . After the last gas sampling. the soil cores were broken

by shaking jars in each batch (Table 2) on a mechanical shaker in a horizontal position for 60min and then gas samples were again taken to measure $N₂O$ and $CO₂$ entrapped in the soil (Aulakh and Doran, 1990).

Nitrous oxide content in gas samples was determined using a Varian 3700 gas chromatograph equipped with a ⁶³Ni electron capture detector at an operating temperature of 350°C with $Ar:CH₄$ (95:5) ratio) as a carrier gas at a flow rate of 60 ml min-'. A 1.8 m column of Porapak Q (50/80 mesh) was used for separation of $N₂O$, water vapor was removed with a precolumn of 8 mesh $CaCl₂$. CO₂ concentrations were determined using a thermal conductivity detector at an operating temperature of 110°C. a column oven temperature of 60° C, and He as a carrier gas at a flow rate of 55 ml min⁻¹. A 1.8 m column of Chromosorb 106 with a CaCl₂ precolumn was used for separation and detection of $CO₂$. Data were corrected for the solubility of N_2O and CO_2

Fig. I. Rate OF denitriiication in three soils of varying texture incubated at 60 and 90% WFPS with or **without hairy vetch crop residue. Actual** days of **gas sampling given in Table 2.**

Table 3. Cumulative dcnitrification losses from three soils of varying tcrture. incubated at 60 and 90% WFPS without or with incorporated hairy vctch residue

Soil	60% WFPS			90% WFPS					
	$0 - 10d$	$11 - 20d$	$21 - 30d$	Total	$0 - 10d$	$11 - 20$ d	$21 - 30 d$	Total	
	mg N kg ⁻¹								
					Without hairy vetch residue				
Tolewal SL	$0.00e^*$	0.03c	0.00c	0.04c	6.06f	3.78c	4.18 _b	14 02e	
Gurdaspur SiL	0.16d	0.01c	0.01c	0.18d	8.33c	4.77b	5.49a	18.59d	
Houston Black SiC	0.11d	0.01c	0.01c	0.03 _c	8.87d	3.54c	2.17c	14.58e	
					With hairy vetch residue				
Tolewal SL	3.10a	0.18a	0.02c	3.30a	50.83c	0.06d	0.25 _e	51.14c	
Gurdaspur SiL	1.32c	0.12 _b	0.20a	1.64c	64.05b	0.02d	0.01f	64.08b	
Houston Black SiC	.76b	0.13 _b	0.08 _b	1.97b	89.39a	9.33a	0.81d	99.53a	

Values in each column followed by same letter do not differ significantly ($P \ge 0.05$ **).**

in soil water (Moraghan and Buresh, 1977). At the termination of the incubation period of each batch, the soil of each vial was mixed well and a 10 g sample was extracted with 1 M KC1 (30 min shaking) followed by filtration and determination of $NO₃$ -N and $NO₄$ -N by automated colorimetric analysis using cadmium reduction and indophenol blue (Keeney and Nelson, 1982).

Experimental design was a factorial completelyrandomized design with three replications. The factors of the model were soil texture, crop residue, WFPS and their interaction. Statistical analysis was made on log-transformed data $[\log_{10} (x + 1)]$ for N₂O-N and $CO₂$ -C in order to satisfy the assumption of variance homogeneity. Means wcrc scparatcd by the Least Significant Difference. The employed proccdurcs are reported in the Statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute, 1985).

RFSULTS AND UISCUSSION

The losses of N due to denitrification were negligible from Tolcwal SL, Gurdaspur SiL, and Houston Black SiC at 60% WFPS with rates ranging between 0-30 μ g N kg⁻¹ d⁻¹ (Fig. 1). When water content was increased to 90% WFPS, the rates increased substantially during the initial 10 days without crop residue (Table 3). Incorporation of 2.5 g kg⁻¹ of young hairy vetch residue increased the rate of denitrification several fold with maximum rates of 17.8-22.4 mg N $kg^{-1} d^{-1}$ occurring on the second day of incubation in each soil and decreased rapidly thereafter. In other treatments (60% WFPS with or without residue, 90% WFPS without residue) denitrification rates were lower but the highest rates also occurred

on the second day. In soils at 90% WFPS without residue, the rate of N loss was low and remained relatively constant throughout the study (Fig. I).

Total N losses via denitrification without residue during the 30-d incubation were lowest (30-200 μ g N kg^{-1}) at 60% WFPS and greatest (14.0-18.6mg N kg^{-1}) at 90% WFPS (Table 3). With incorporated hairy vetch residue, the losses ranged from 1.6 to 3.3 mgN kg-' at 60% WFPS and from 51.1 to 99.5 mg N kg-' at 90% WFPS. In residue-amended soils at 90% WFPS. the proportion of losses that occurred during the initial IOd ranged from 80 to 99% of the total N losses in the three soils. In soils incubated without residue at 90% WFPS. N losses ranged from 43 to 61% in the initial IO d, 24-27% in the II-20d period, and IS-30% in the 2l-30d period (Table 3).

In residue-amended soils, at 90% WFPS soil NO_i . N decreased rapidly in all soils from denitrification and immobilization (Table 1); residual NO_i -N ranged from 0 to 23 mg N kg^{-1} at 10 d and was undetectable thereafter (Table 4). Bijay-Singh et al. (1988) demonstrated an association between denitrification potential in soils and the amount of C susceptible to mineralization under aerobic or anaerobic conditions. In the present study, patterns of C mineralization in soils at 60 and 90% WFPS with or without hairy vetch residue (Fig. 2) were similar to those for N_2O emissions at 90% WFPS (Fig. 1) during the initial IOd, with a peak in both denitrification and $CO₂$ production rates by day 2. During the later part of study (11-20 d), C mineralization continued at relatively high rates (17.2-28.7 mg $CO₂$ -C $kg^{-1} d^{-1}$) in residue-amended soils at 90% WFPS but rates of denitrification rates decreased, presumably

Table 4. Nitrate-N content in three soils of varying texture, incubated at 60 and 90% **WFPS** without or with incorporated hairy vetch residue (soils exposed to C_2H_2 for **each IO d incubalion period only)'**

Soil	60% WFPS			90% WFPS			
	10 d	20 d	30 d	10 d	20 d	30 d	
				mg N kg ⁻¹			
				Without hairy vetch residue			
Tolewal SL	6361	75e	76f	48b	516	45b	
Gurdaspur SiL	65b	98b	102 _c	61b	47 _b	36c	
Houston Black SiC	167a	1836	179b	134a	131a	l 19a	
	With hairy vetch residue						
Tolewal SL	58b	97b	118d	0c	0c	0d	
Gurdaspur SiL	69b	143с	156c	0c	0с	0d	
Houston Black SiC	170a	233а	250a	23c	0c	0d	

*Soil NO₁ -N content at 0d was 101, 111 and 164 mg N kg⁻¹ for Tolewal SL, **Gurdaspur SiL and Houston Black Sic. respectively.**

tValues in each column followed by same letter do not differ significantly ($P \ge 0.05$ **).**

due to exhaustion of NO_1^- -N (Table 4). In the case of soils without added residue at 90% WFPS (where NO_i -N remained high), the relatively low but almost constant rates of denitrilication throughout the study may have been limited by continuous low supply of organic C to denitrifying organisms through the mineralization of native soil organic matter. Therefore the supply of available C, whether from native organic matter or from the incorporated crop residue, was a major factor influencing denitrification, especially when soil aeration was restricted and adequate amounts of NO_j were present. These results strongly suggest that supply of C will determine the rate of denitrification in nearly saturated soils until the NO_i supply is exhausted (Rice *et al.*, 1987; Aulakh et al., 1991b).

Although the rates of denitrification from residueamended soils at 60% WFPS were not as pronounced as at 90% WFPS, the influence of incorporated crop residue was evident in each soil. Total N losses

from residue-amended soils at 60% WFPS were l-2 orders of magnitude higher than those from soils without residue (Table 3). These results confirm that decomposition of incorporated low C:N ratio plant residues in soils apparently increases denitrification through increased microbial activity (as revealed by soil $CO₂$ production), and respiratory $O₂$ consumption from metabolically-active soil microsites as shown earlier by others (Greenwood, 1975; Aulakh and Rennie, 1987; Rice et al., 1988). These results support the observations made by Parkin (1987) on soil microsites (hot spots) and Doran (unpublished, reported in Aulakh er *al.,* 1991a) in a field study involving crop residue management who observed localized habitats of greater bioactivity created conditions favorable for denitrification even at WFPS of 60% or below.

Lund et al. (1974) reported greater rates of denitrification in finer-textured soils. Later studies indicated that the influence of soil texture on denitrification was

Fig. 2. Rate of carbon mineralization in three soils of varying texture incubated at 60 and 90% WFPS with **or without hairy vctch crop residue. Actual days of gas sampling given in Table 2.**

Fig. 3. Relationship of total denitrilication losses with (a) soil water content and (b) water-filled pore space in three soils of varying soil texture incubated with (solid symbols) and without hairy vetch residue (open symbols).

not a direct effect of clay on microorganisms (van dcr Staay and Focht, 1977) but was related lo soil water content (Chaterpaul et al., 1980), which influences **aeration in soils. Soil water contents in those studies were cxprcssed on a gravimetric or volumetric basis. However, the same soil water content in texturally diffcrcnt soils provides very dilfcrent conditions of soil aeration and associated dcnitrification activity. For example, in our study, a water content of 37% (gravimctric) resulted in 60% WFPS (40% air-filled** pore space) or aerobic conditions in Houston Black **Sic but in almost suturatcd conditions (90% WFPS) in Gurdaspur SiL and consequently several-fold higher denitrification rates [Fig. 3(a)]. Similarly 37-39% volumetric water content allowed relatively more air-filled porosity in clay (60% WFPS) but saturated conditions in the sandy loam soil and resulted in greatly different rates of dcnitrification [Fig. 3(a)]. To integrate the effects of varying soil texture, bulk density and water content with varying tillage management Linn and Doran (1984) suggcstcd that a measure such as a water-filled pore space was a reliable, and relatively simple index of aeration status. In our study, the potential for denitrification loss from three soils of widely varying texture were better indicated by WFPS rather than either gravimctric or volumetric water content [Fig. 3(b)].**

Our data further illustrate that when denitrification losses were plotted against WFPS, substantial differences in dcnitrification losses in three soils of varying texture occurred only at 90% WFPS in vetch-amended soils [Fig. 3(b)]. These differences **could be largely attributed to differential NO; supply and varying response to added C between soils during the incubation. Cumulative C mineralized was 934 mg C kg-' for the Sic in Houston Black Sic, 866 for the Gurdaspur SiL and 840 for the Tolcwal SL** with residue and 111, 137 and 72 mg C kg⁻¹ without **residue, respectively, an order identical to that observed for cumulative denitrification losses in residueamended soils. In residue-amended soils, most soil**

NO; was removed by denitrification and immobilization at IO d. except in Houston Black Sic (Table 4). Diffcrcnccs in denitrification in vetch-amended soils at 90% WFPS [Fig. 3(b)] suggest the influence of soil tcxturc on dcnitrification. at presumably equivalent states of soil aeration. was largely due to the complex interactions between the soils' inherent capacity to supply NO₃, available C, and other "soil factors".

Arknowledgm~ents-We **thank Tim Kettler and Katherine Dolan for their technical support in the research, and to C. E. Varvel. C. W. Rice and T. B. Parkin for comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. Published as Paper No. 9471, journal series, Nebraska Agricultural Research Division, Lincoln, Nev.**

REFERENCFS

- **Abrol 1. P. and Palaniappen S. P. (1988) Green manure crops in irrigated and rainfed lowland rice-based cropping systems in South Asia. In** *Sustoinohle Agriculture-Green Manure in Rice Farming,* **pp. 71-82. International Rice Research Institute. Los Banes.**
- **Andren 0.. Lindberg T., Pausrian K. and Rosswall T. (1990) Ecology of arable land: organisms, carbon and nitrogen cycling.** *Ecological Bdletins, 40, 127-l 52.*
- **Aulakh M. S. and Doran J. W. (1990) Effectiveness of acetylene inhibition of N20 reduction for measuring dcnitrification in soils of varying wetness.** *Communication in Soil Science & Planr Analysis 21, 2233-2243.*
- Aulakh M. S. and Rennie D. A. (1987) Effect of wheat straw incorporation on denitrification of N under anaerobic **and aerobic conditions.** *Canadian Journal of Soil Science 67, 825-834.*
- **Aulakh M. S., Doran J. W. and Mosier A. R. (1991a) Soil denirrification-significance. measurement and effects of** *management. Advances in Soil Science 14. In press.*
- **Aulakh M. S., Rennie D. A. and Paul E. A. (1983) The effect of various clover management practices on gaseous N losses and mineral N accumulation.** *Canadian Journal of Soil Science 63, 593-605.*
- **Aulakh M. S., Doran J. W., Walters D. T., Mosier A. R. and Francis D. D. (199lb) Crop residue type and**

placement effects on denitritication and mineralization. *Soil Science Society of America I 55,* **1020-1025.**

- Bijay-Singh, Ryden J. C. and Whitehead D. C. (1988) **Some relationships between denitrification potential and fractions of organic carbon in airdried and field-moist soils.** *Soil Biology & Biochemistry 20, 737-741.*
- **Chaterpaul L., Paul E. A. and Colaco W. (1980) Denitrification in Saskatchewan soils under field condi**tions. In *Abstracts of the 80th Annual Meeting, American Sociery of Microbiology, II-16* **May, 1980. Miami Beach, Florida.**
- **Doran J. W. and Smith M. S. (1991) Overview: role of cover crops in nitrogen cycling. In** *Proceedings of an International Conference "Cover Crops for Clean Water" (W.* **L. Hargrove. Ed.).** pp. **85-90. Soil and Water Conservation-Society of America. Ankeny. Iowa.**
- **Doran J. W.. Mielke L. N. and Power J. F. (1990) Microbial activity as regulated by soil water-filled pore space. In** *Transactions of 14th lnrernarional Congress of Soil Science.* **Vol. III. pp. 94-99. Kyoto.**
- **Firestone M. K. (1982) Biological denitrification. In Nitrogen** *in Agriculrural Soils* **(F. J. Stevenson, Ed.), pp.** *289-326.* **American Society of Agronomy, Madison.**
- Focht D. D. and Verstraete W. (1977) Biochemical ecology **of nitrification and denitritication. In AJrances** *in Microbial Ecology* (M. Alexander, Ed.). Vol. 1, pp. 135-214. Plenum Press, New York.
- **Greenwood D. J. (1975) Measurement of soil aeration in soil physical conditions and crop production. In** *Technical Bullefin No. -79,* **pp. 261-272. Ministry of Agriculture,** Fishery and Food, H.M.S.O., London.
- **John P. S.. Burcsh R. J.. Prasad R. and Pawlev R. K. (1989)** Nitrogen gas $(N_2 + N_2O)$ flux from urea applied to lowland rice as affected by green manure. Plant and Soil **119. 7 13.**
- **Kccncy D. R. and Nelson D. W. (19X2) Nitroycn-inorganic forms. In** *Methods of Soil Anulysis* **(A. L. Page er** *al.. MS).* **Part 2. 2nd cdn. pp. 643 69X. American Society of Agronomy. Madison.**
- **Langdale G. W.. Blevins R. L.. McCool D. K.. Skidmore E. L.. Karlcn D. L., Nearing M. S.. Williams J. R.** and Thomas A. W. (1991) Overview: cover crop effects **on soil erosion by wind and water. In** *Proceedings of on International Conference "Cover Crops for Clean Water"* **(W. L. tlargrove. Ed.), pp. 15-22. Soil and Water** Conservation Society of America, Ankeny.
- Linn D. M. and Doran J. W. (1984) Effect of water-filled pore space on carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide produc-

tion in tilled and nontilled soils. *Soil Science Sociery of America Journal 48, 1267-1272.*

- **Lund L. J.. Adrian0 D. C. and Pratt P. F. (1974) Nitrate concentrations** in deep soil cores as **related to soil profile characteristics.** *Journal of Encironmenlal Qualify 3.78-82.*
- **Miller R. D. and Johnson D. D. (1964) The effect of soil** moisture tension on CO₂ evaluation, nitrification, and **nitrogen mineralization. Soil** *Science Sociery of America Proceedings 28. 644-647.*
- **Moraghan J. T. and Buresh R. (1977) Correction for** dissolved nitrous oxide in the nitrogen studies. Soil Science *Society of America Journal* 41, 1201-1202.
- **Parkin T. B. (1987) Soil microsites as a source of denitritication variability.** *Soil Science Society of America Journal St, 1194-l 199.*
- Power J. F. (1987) The Role of Legumes in Conservation *Tillage Systems.* **Soil and Water Conservation Society of America, Ankeny.**
- **Rice C. W.. Sierzega P. E., Tiedje J. M. and Jacobs L. W. (1988) Stimulated denitritication in the microenvironment of a biodegradable organic waste injected into soil.** *Soil Science Sociery of America Journal 52, 102-108.*
- SAS Institute (1985) SAS User's Guide: Statistics, 5th edn. **Cary. N.C.**
- **Sharpley A. N. and Smith S. J. (1991) Overview: Etfect of cover crops on surface water quality. In** *Proceedings of an InternaIional Conference 'Coiner Crops for C/run* Water"(W. L. Hargrove, Ed.), pp. 41-49. Soil and Water **Conservation Society of America, Ankcny.**
- **Skopp J., Jawson M. D. and Doran J. W. (1990) Stcadystate aerobic soil microbial activity as a function of soil water content.** *Soil Science Sociefy of America Journul54, 1619-1625.*
- **Sommcrs L. E.. Gilmour C. M.. Wildruny R. E. and Beck** S. M. (1981) The effect of water potential on decom**position proccsscs in soils. In Wurcr** *potentiul relafionships in soil microbiology* (J. F. Parr, Ed.), pp. 97-117. Special **Publication 9. Soil Science Society of America, Madison.**
- **van dcr Staay R. L. and Focht D. D. (1977) ElTects of surface area upon bacterial dcnitrification rates.** *Soil Science 123,* **18-24.**
- Stefanson R. C. (1972) Soil denitrification in sealed soil-plant systems. 1. Effect of plants, soil water content **and soil organic matter content.** *P/ant und Soil* **33, 113-127.**
- **Yadvinder-Singh. Khind C. S. and Bijay-Singh (1991) Ehicient management of leguminous green manures for** wetland rice. *Advances in Agronomy* **45,** 135-189.